

THE REGULATORY REFORM (FIRE SAFETY) ORDER 2005 FIRE RISK ASSESSMENT MAINTENANCE WORKSHOPS/SCHOOL SHOP

BUILDING SUMMARY

The Maintenance workshops and School Shop are timber constructed sheds with an insulation infill. The sheds and shop have electrical power and are heated by wall mounted electrical heaters.



Clie	ent Name:	Norv	wich Scl	hool			Name of	Assessor:	M B Vale		
II	ea being sessed:	Maintenance Worl	kshops	and Sch	ool Sho	pp.	Date(s):	September	2015	Review Date(s):	September 2016
433		NT QUESTION		COMPL	IANCE	?			COMMENTS	/RECOMMENDATI	ONS
			N/A	Yes	No	Part					
<u>, </u>			•		•		•				
1.	Identification (of the hazards									
1.1	Identification of	sources of fuel.		√			throughou		sed in furniture		re, Paper and card in use torage of timber, storage o
1.2	Identification of	sources of ignition.		✓			Use of e smoking,		pment throug	hout, uncontrolled	maintenance, unauthorised
1.3	Identification of	sources of oxygen.		✓							
2.	. People at risk										
2.1	Identification of	people at risk in area.		✓			Staff, Visi	tors, Contract	tors and Pupils	S.	
2.2	Identification of activities.	people at risk from area		√			See 2.1 a	bove.			
2.3	Identification of	those especially at risk.									
	2.3.1 Unsupervi	sed.		✓			Potential	for staff or su	pport staff to b	e in buildings unsu	pervised.
	2.3.2 Language	difficulties.		✓							
	2.3.3 Very youn	g.	√								
	2.3.4 Disabilities	S.		✓							
3.	Evaluation of fi	ire risk in area being ass	sessed								
3.1	Higher.										
3.2	Normal.			✓							
3.3	3.3 Lower.										
4.	Removal or red	duction of hazard									
4.1											

4.2 Reduction in sources of fuel.



Client Name:		Norw	ich Sch	nool			Name of	Assessor:	M B Vale		
II	being	Maintenance Work	shops	and Scho	ol Sho	p.	Date(s):	September	2015	Review Date(s):	September 2016
asse	ssed:	ENT QUESTION		COMPLI	ANCES	,			COMMENTS	 RECOMMENDATIO	ONE
	ASSESSIVIE	INT QUESTION	N/A	Yes	No No	Part			COMMEN 13/	RECOMMENDATION	JNS
4.2	Doduction in acc	urces of oxygen.	1077	√ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	1					
		• •									
	identified?	ous substances been		√							
-		n removed or reduced so ably practicable?		✓							
4.6	Are fixed electric portable electric	cal installations and al equipment subject to		√							
	routine inspection Fire warning ar	nd detection systems									
5.1	ls a shout or ma	nual alarm sufficient?	√								
5.2	Alarm to BS583	9 or equivalent.	√								
	points acceptab		√								
	Is the audibility a	•	\checkmark								
5.5	Any persons wit	h impaired hearing.	✓								
5.6	Is automatic fire	detection advised?	✓				AFD not o	considered ne	cessary due to	small size of build	ings
5.7	Right type and le	ocation.	√								
	Maximum travel alarm operating	distance of 45m to any point?	√								
	Alarm operating exits and final ex	points located at storey xits?	\								
6.	Fire fighting eq	uipment									
		extinguishers provided?									
		ombustible solids.		✓							
	6.1.2 Class B or Class A with additives flammable liquids			√							
	6.1.3 Class C fir	es involving gases.	✓								



GENERAL FIRE RISK ASSESSMENT FOR EDUCATIONAL PREMISES

THE REGULATORY REFORM (FIRE SAFETY) ORDER 2005

Client Name:	Norw	rich Sch	nool			Name of	Assessor:	M B Vale		
Area being	Maintenance Work	shops	and Sch	ool Sho	p.	Date(s):	September	2015	Review Date(s):	September 2016
assessed:	ENT OUESTION	I	COMPL	IANOE				COMMENTS/RECOMMENDATIONS		
ASSESSIVI	ENT QUESTION	N/A	Yes	No	Part	+		COMMENTS	S/RECOMMENDATION	UNS
6.1.4 Class D fu	res involving metals.	\ \ \	103	110	Tart	<u> </u>				
	ooking oils and deep fat	∨								
fryers.										
6.1.6 Hose reels		✓								
6.1.7 Sprinkler	•	\checkmark								
6.2 Are there a suff										
extinguishers co										
	·		√							
=	/ 200m ² of floor space.		√							
fat-type fires?	s (light duty) provided for		√							
7. Means of esca										
7.1 Work in inner ro	•									
7.1.1 Vision par	nel in dividing wall or	\checkmark								
7.1.2 500mm sr	noke gap at ceiling or	✓								
7.1.3 Smoke de	tection in outer room	✓								
7.2 Are dead-end tr acceptable?	avel distances		✓							
7.3 Are combined to acceptable?	ravel distances		✓							
7.4 Are dead-end c	orridors protected by:									
7.4.1 Protected	route status or	✓								
7.4.2 Automatic	fire detection.	✓								
	res (10m classrooms) are with included angle >45°?	√								
7.6 Are doors set at above?		✓								
7.7 Are fire doors a	cceptable and closing	✓								



Client Name: Norwich School		Name of	Assessor:	M B Vale						
Area being assessed:	Maintenance Work	shops				Date(s):	•		Review Date(s):	September 2016
ASSESSMI	ENT QUESTION		COMPL		?			COMMENTS	/RECOMMENDATION	ONS
		N/A	Yes	No	Part					
properly?										
7.8 Is there fire sub >30m in length?	-division of corridors	✓								
7.9 Is there fire doo serving 2 floor 6	r sub-division of corridors exits?	✓								
7.10 Are stairway en Construction, le	closures of Class 0 ading to final exit?	✓								
7.11 Are stairway en combustibles?	closures free of	√								
at all upper leve		✓								
a separate fire-r point?	nds to basement, is there resisting lobby at that	√								
7.14 Is the floor above minute fire resistance.	stance?	✓								
vertical and hor	al fire escapes the correct izontal distance from ors and windows? (1.8m 9m vertically).	√								
	properly managed?		✓							
7.17 Are accommoda at all upper leve	ation stairways enclosed els?	√								
of travel?	doors open in direction		✓							
upwards throug floor slab above		√								
7.20 Do the walls con shafts that woul	ntain hidden voids or d spread smoke vertically	✓								



GENERAL FIRE RISK ASSESSMENT FOR EDUCATIONAL PREMISES

THE REGULATORY REFORM (FIRE SAFETY) ORDER 2005

Client Name:		ne:	Norw	ich Sch	hool			Name of	Assessor:	M B Vale		
Area asses	_	•	Maintenance Work	shops	and Scho	ool Sho	p.	Date(s):	September	2015	Review Date(s):	September 2016
			ENT QUESTION		COMPLI	ANCE?	1			COMMENTS	RECOMMENDATION	ONS
				N/A	Yes	No	Part					
á	and by	ypass the	fire-resisting structure?									
8. N	leans	of escap	e with single stairs	<u> </u>								
8.1 E	Basen	nent and g	ground floor:									
8	3.1.1	Not >60	people in the basement.	√								
8	3.1.2	Stairwell	protected.	√								
8	3.1.3	Travel di acceptab	stance to storey exit le.	√								
		basemer	sting of lobby in nt before stairs.	✓								
8.2 (Groun	d floor an	d first floor:									
8	3.2.1	Not >120	people on first floor.	✓								
8	3.2.2	acceptab		✓								
8	3.2.3	Stairwell	protected.	✓								
8.3 (Groun	d floor an	d up to 3 upper floors:									
3	3.3.1		must not be odated above the first	√								
8	3.3.2	Upper flo people e	ors must not have >60 ach.	√								
8	3.3.3	Travel di acceptab	stance to storey exit lle.	√								
8	3.3.4	protected	n to staircase via a d lobby (except on top have AFD.	√								
9.	Fire	Safety Ma Check	iintenance (see list)									



Client Name:		Norw	rich Sch	100l			Name of	Assessor:	M B Vale		
Area being		Maintenance Work	shops	and Scho	ool Sho	p.	Date(s):	September	2015	Review Date(s):	September 2016
assessed:	SESSME	ENT QUESTION	1	COMPL	IANCE	•			COMMENTS	 RECOMMENDATIO	ONS.
AS	SESSIVIE	INT QUESTION	N/A	Yes	No	Part	+		COMMENTS	RECOMMENDATION	ONS
9.1 Are th		hly checks of the									
9.1.1	Escape	e routes		✓							
9.1.2	Emerg	ency lighting		✓			1 hour tes	t completed E	Easter and 3 h	our test completed	in Summer.
9.1.3	Fire fig	hting equipment		✓			Extinguisl	ners checked	annually.		
	9.2 Does the fire warning system have a 6-monthly check by a Competent Person?										
month	ly check	nguishers have a 12- by a Competent Person?		√							
10. Misce	llaneous	Matters									
10.1 Signs	and notion	ces:									
10.1.1		nergency exits and signs marked?	✓								
10.1.2		alarm operating points marked?	✓								
10.1.3		ernal fire doors provided e "Fire Door Keep Shut"	√								
10.1.4		ush Bar to Open" notices ed at door panic bolts?	√								
10.1.5	cupboa protect	e-resisting doors to ards on staircases and ed routes marked "Fire Keep Locked"?	√								
	instruct	ere evacuation tions posted throughout a at appropriate points?		√							
		ergency plan in place?		✓							
	Has training on the emergency plan and on fire generally been provided to			√							



Client Name:	Norw	ich Sch	nool			Name of Assessor: M B Vale				
Area being	Maintenance Work	shops	and Scho	ool Sho	p.	Date(s):	September	2015	Review Date(s):	September 2016
assessed:	15)17 0115071031	ı	001101					00111151150	(DE 0.01414END 4 TH	
ASSESSI	MENT QUESTION	N/A	Yes	No	Part			COMMENTS	RECOMMENDATION	ONS
		IN/A	res	INO	Part					
•	and employees?									
	ergency plan include the		✓							
	of fire Marshalls and detail									
their role?										
	ergency plan detail the d objectives of fire drills		✓							
	od of achieving any roll									
call?	ou or domesting any ron									
10.6 Does the pla	n deal with the presence of		√							
	nd visitors who may be on									
site?										
	sion of a sufficient number		✓							
of emergency equivalent?	/ lighting luminaires or									
	uate lighting on external		√							
routes adjace	ent to fire exit?		v							
	ns by which employees can		✓							
	ncern about fire risks in									
the Fire Safe	duty under Article 19 of									
	ck-up power supplies for		√							
fire detection	and emergency lighting		•							
systems?										
11. Hire of Facil	ities									
	of the area hired to	✓								
external orga										
each case?	nsible Person identified in	✓								
	afety responsibilities	✓								
	s part of the contract of									
hire?		1	1		1					



Clien	nt Name:	Norw	vich Sch	nool			Name of	Assessor:	M B Vale			
11	being ssed:	Maintenance Work	and Scho	ool Sho) .	Date(s):	September	r 2015	Review Date(s):	September 2016		
	ASSESSME	ENT QUESTION		COMPLI	ANCE?		COMMENTS/RECOMMENDATIONS					
				Yes	No	Part						
12.	Soft furnishing	gs etc										
	use of soft furnishings complying with the Furniture and Fittings (Fire) Safety Regulations 1998?			√								
12.2	2 Is it being implemented?			✓								

NORWICH SCHOOL - FIRE RISK ASSESSMENT

THE MANAGEMENT OF HEALTH AND SAFETY AT WORK REGULATIONS AND THE REGULATORY REFORM (FIRE SAFETY) ORDER 2005

SIGNIFICANT FINDINGS - MAINTENANCE WORKSHOPS AND SCHOOL SHOP

Item	Ref	Description of Unsatisfactory	Persons at Risk	Existing Control Measures	Proposed Remedial Action
No.	No.	Condition		(if any)	When and Who
		No significant findings noted.			